UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,665	08/07/2003	Hiraku Murayama	029650-144	8895
21839 7590 04/11/2008 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			EXAMINER	
			HOEKSTRA, JEFFREY GERBEN	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3736	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/11/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	10/635,665	MURAYAMA ET A	AL.		
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JEFFREY G. HOEKSTRA	3736			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>JEFFREY G. HOEKSTRA</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Michael Briton</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>07 April 2008</u> .					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	²)∏ applicant's representative	e]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,2,24 and 29</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Uchino &amp; Palermo</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant and Examiner discussed the merits of the outstanding Non-Final Office Action mailed 01/10/2008. The Examiner's interpretation of the prior art was discussed. Possible claim amendments to overcome the prior art were proposed. Upon cursory review, the proposed amendments appear to overcome the prior art of record. However, the claims will be reviewed for patentability upon formal entry into the case.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
-	/Jeffrey G Hoekstra/ Examiner, Art Unit 3736 Examiner's signature, if requi	red			